Interview Summary	Application No. 09/941,769	Applicant(s) Hirabayashi		hi
	Examiner Arun Chakrab	arti	Art Unit 1634	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):			
(1) <u>Arun Chakrabarti</u>	(3)			
(2) Melvin Kraus				
Date of Interview Jun 5, 2003	- -			
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) X applicant's rep	oresentative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \(\subseteq \text{Yes} \)	e)⊠ No. If yes, bri	ef descripti	on:	
Claim(s) discussed: All				
Identification of prior art discussed: All				
make it a mothod claim. The make it a mothod claim. The low rejections based on where discussed. Applicant as obvious those rejections. Applicant will be sured favoros (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no cavailable, a summary thereof must be attached.)	the basis the basis the basis the basis the basis the basis and inde inde is amendment the inde inde is amendment the present for an allowithing the bly, although ments which the examony opy of the amendment	Secondary Secondary Secondary Secondary The fundation	an agreement we rejection the claim of the c	Applicant 1 to 1
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMA INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPE already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FRO SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record	AL WRITTEN REPLY TEPS section 713.04). If MITTER INTERVIEW I	O THE LAS	ST OFFICE ACTION the last Office a	ON MUST
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	- -	Arown Examiner's	kr, Clak	raleanth